

SCHOOL BOARD OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA  
**PROJECT ASSIGNMENT SHEET**  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES SELECTION COMMITTEE

DATE 9/26/2006 PROJECT NO. 4517 DEPT. Construction Services

PROJECT Gocio Elementary School – HVAC Renovation

The Professional Services Selection Committee, in accordance with School Board Policy 7.71, has selected the top four (4) firms and ranked them as indicated below:

FIRST CHOICE Engineering Matrix, Inc.


SECOND CHOICE TRO w/Forney Engineering

THIRD CHOICE Seibert Architects, PA

FOURTH CHOICE The Maddox Group

Signature of Committee Members

John E. Drogan  
John D.  
Mike T.  
Wesley P. L.  
W. Cook  
Paul B.  
Alery D.



**SCHOOL BOARD OF SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA  
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES SELECTION COMMITTEE  
MAJOR/MINOR & CONTINUING CONTRACT  
PROJECT SCORE SHEET**

**PROJECT:** Gocio Elementary - HVAC Renovation/  
Design & Construction Administration Svcs.

**PROJ #:** 4517    **EST.COST/FEE:** \$3M

**DEPT.:** Construction Services

**SCOPE OF WORK:** The scope shall include design and construction administration to renovate the HVAC systems in Bldgs. #1 & #2, including new chiller, thermal energy storage system addition, above group piping, air side systems, and lighting and electrical distribution at referenced campus. Also included is carpet replacement, floor sealing, demountable partition replacement, and upgrade of toilet rooms to comply with current ADA requirements.

**ADVERTISEMENT MEETING NOTICE**  
**DATE:** 8/6/2006    **DATE:** 9/11 & 9/26/2006  
**PAPER:** Sarasota Herald Tribune    **LOCATION:** Construction Services Dept.

APPLICANT	COMMITTEE															
	SHORTLIST					INTERVIEW					TOTAL					
MINORITY FIRM	LOCATION		TEAM QUALIFICATIONS		LIST OF PROJECTS		RELATED EXPERIENCE		TIMELINES & BUDGETS	ABILITY TO PERFORM / TEAM STRENGTH	INTERVIEW RATING	PROBLEMS & SOLUTIONS / COST CONTROL MEASURES		TOTAL		
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H				I				
Architect Larry LaDelta	0.0	4.0	11.1	6.8	14.8	36.6	9.0	18.4	18.6	18.4	64.4	7.9	17.0	15.6	14.3	54.7
ATP Engineering South, PL	0.0	5.0	10.9	6.1	14.0	36.0	8.1	17.1	16.3	17.4	59.0	8.7	17.4	17.0	16.6	59.7
Engineering Matrix, Inc.	0.0	4.0	13.6	8.6	17.4	43.6										
GLE Associates, Inc.	0.0	4.0	11.1	6.1	13.4	34.6										
Maddox Group (The)	0.0	5.0	14.1	9.0	18.4	46.5										
Seibert Architects, P.A.	0.0	5.0	13.9	9.3	17.5	45.6										
TRO/The Ritchie Organization w/Forney Eng. Sarasota	0.0	5.0	13.8	9.3	17.9	45.9										

**SHORTLISTING**  
**DATE:** 9/11/2006  
**COMMITTEE MEMBERS' SIGNATURES:**  
*[Signatures]*

**INTERVIEW**  
**DATE:** 9/26/2006  
**COMMITTEE MEMBERS' SIGNATURES:**  
*[Signatures]*

**NOTE:** The highest score possible for the short-listing portion is 50 (+1 for minority firm) = 51;  
The highest score possible for the interview/presentation portion is 70.